

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 1693-02
Bill No.: SB 528
Subject: Crimes and Punishment; Criminal Procedure; Judges; Law Enforcement Officers and Agencies
Type: Original
Date: March 17, 2003

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006
None			
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006
None			
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.
This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006
None			
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Department of Natural Resources, Department of Corrections, Department of Public Safety – Missouri State Water Patrol, – Division of Liquor Control, – Capitol Police, – Missouri State Highway Patrol, Department of Conservation,** and the **Office of State Public Defender** assume the proposed legislation would have no fiscal impact on their agencies.

Officials from the **Office of State Courts Administrator** assume the proposed legislation would have no fiscal impact on the courts.

Officials from the **Office of Prosecution Services** assume the proposed legislation would have no fiscal impact on prosecutors.

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u>	FY 2004 (10 Mo.)	FY 2005	FY 2006
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
<u>FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government</u>	FY 2004 (10 Mo.)	FY 2005	FY 2006
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.


DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation would set out the requirements for a peace officer to obtain a warrant via the telephone. The proposal would allow the prosecuting attorney to give voice authorization to the applicant to affix his or her signature to the application. After the prosecutor's signature is affixed, the applicant would contact a judge who could take an oral statement under oath that is recorded. The proposal would also set out the forms for the application and affidavit for a telephonic search warrant.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of State Courts Administrator
Department of Natural Resources
Department of Corrections
Department of Public Safety
 – Missouri State Water Patrol
 – Division of Liquor Control
 – Capitol Police
 – Missouri State Highway Patrol
Department of Conservation
Office of Prosecution Services
Office of State Public Defender



Mickey Wilson, CPA
Director
March 17, 2003